
SUMMARY OF CABINET / CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 18 October 2021

**CALL IN FOR THESE DECISION ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON FRIDAY 29 October 2021**

22 October 2021

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for Policing & Equalities – Tuesday 19 October 2021

Report 4 Gambling Act 2005 - Revised Statement of Gambling Policy 2022 - 2025

The Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the results of the consultation, and the proposed changes on the revised Statement of Gambling Policy for the period 2022 – 2025 and any comments from the Licensing and Regulatory Committee
- 2) Recommend to Council that it adopts the revised Statement of Gambling Policy attached as Appendix A of the report.

The above recommendations were approved

Cabinet Member for City Services – Wednesday 20 October 2021

Report 4 Save the tree on the corner of Beanfield Avenue and Medland Avenue

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the content of the petition and note the concerns of the petitioners
- 2) Note the potential options outlined for dealing with the tree
- 3) Endorse the option of narrowing the carriageway of the road by placing road markings on the edge of the carriageway.

The above recommendations were approved

Report 5 Binley Cycleway – Scheme part-approval, way forward and petition responses

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Note the first petitioners support and the second petitioners concerns.
- 2) Consider the high quantity and value of feedback received during both phases of public consultation and the amendments to the scheme that have been made as a result.
- 3) Approve the scheme design and the construction of the Cycletrack for the sections of the route between Gulson Road and Brookvale Avenue, and between UHCW and Tesco Clifford Bridge access roundabout.
- 4) Approve the review of scheme design for the section of the route between Brookvale Avenue and Tesco Clifford Bridge Access roundabout, and the holding of a further public consultation on the revised scheme design.

- 5) Note the advertising of Traffic Regulation Orders to enhance the safety of users of the highway and particularly the Cycletrack.

The above recommendations were approved

Report 6 Objections to Proposed Local Safety Scheme - Old Church Road, Gayer Street, Proffitt Avenue and Dudley Street.

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

- 1) Consider the objections to the 20mph speed limit and traffic calming measures;
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the 20mph speed limit and installation of speed cushions and raised junctions (Old Church Road, Gayer Street, Proffitt Avenue and Dudley Street Local Safety Scheme).

The above recommendations were approved along with the additional recommendations:

- 3) Request that officers liaise with a resident of Old Church Road regarding the height and gradient of the speed cushion to be installed on the road by her property.
- 4) Request officers to investigate the state of the pavements in the Local Safety Scheme area.
- 5) Request officers to investigate the issue of parking on the pavements at junctions in the Local Safety Scheme area which are creating visibility issues for drivers and consider the introduction of double yellow lines.

Report 7 Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

1. Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

The above recommendation was approved

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate UNLESS:-

1. It falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.